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Power System Engineering (PSE) Background

- Power System Engineering, Inc. (PSE) is a **full-service consulting firm** for electric utilities. We work mostly with co-ops and municipals and commonly work with over 200 different utilities each year.

- The professionals at PSE include engineers, IT and communications experts, utility strategy experts, economists, and financial analysts.

- 70+ employees with main office in Madison WI

- Complete many types of automation projects – SCADA, AMI, MDM, DA, DSM, CIS, MWM, GIS, technology strategy and strategic planning.

- PSE has provided communications infrastructure strategy, design, and procurement for dozens of utility’s.

- PSE is independent:
  - PSE is a 100 % independent consulting firm
  - PSE is NOT a value-added reseller (VAR)
  - Our entire business model is based on what is best for our clients
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What is Customer Engagement?

• Developing a means to introduce new services, programs, and products for your customers – all customers are unique.

• Segmenting your customer base and understanding your customer base differences for unique types of services.

• Introducing services, programs, and products that have meaning and purpose – not every product/service is for everyone.

• Avoid cross-subsidizing the costs of one customer segment, service, program, or product from one another.
Possible Customer Engagement Services

1. Outage notification
2. Energy web reporting
3. Peak alert text messaging
4. Branding and marketing via social media

New Approaches

Use of the Web

1) Broadband Internet
2) Community Solar Gardens
3) Distributed Solar PV turnkey solution

Direct Load Control & Smart Thermostat

Energy Storage

New Pricing Programs: CPP, PTR, Dynamic

Prepaid Metering

Satellite TV
What Systems are Needed to Introduce New Services?

• Robust communications infrastructure
• AMI and MDM
• Home automation with cellular, broadband, or traditional AMI
• GIS
• OMS
• Integration of systems

What else is needed?

• An overall strategic plan (plus cross-dept. utility/board buy-in)
• Sales and marketing capabilities in a competitive environment
• A culture to move forward while taking some risks
• Flexibility to change directions
• Sufficient due diligence to avoid major mistakes
Why Develop a Customer Engagement Philosophy

• For the co-op and munis—customers/members are owners of the utility. New services, programs, and products can enhance their lives or save them money.

• Services such as broadband Internet can impact the quality of life, and enhance economic development in the communities you serve.

• Some customer engagement programs have very specific goals:
  – Load management and new pricing programs can shift peak costs/demand and save the utility money, which can save members money.
  – Prepaid metering can benefit both staff and customers.

• For the same reason as above, many co-ops have been selling electric appliances for many years.
Why Develop a Customer Engagement Philosophy

• Various forms of competition have already arrived.
  – The threat may seem small now, the impact could gradually become more painful and cause costs to shift between various rate classes and flatten and decline revenues.
    • From who Google, Apple, Home Automation vendors, solar, etc.

• If new services and products can be profitably introduced, they can help add revenues to avoid shrinking the core electric revenues.

• To compete with substitute and competing technologies such as solar photovoltaic:
  – Introducing your own solar PV program could be a means to more tactically leverage solar, enhance safety elements of solar, and possibly preserve revenues.
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What Do We Know So Far from the FCC Filing?

- Fiber is strongly preferred from a funding perspective.
- Enough money is available from the Experiment to complete a pilot but not a full deployment.
- The funding – even for the Experiment – will be paid over ten years (versus all the money upfront).
- Some areas of the service territory are already disqualified from receiving funding from the Experiment or CAF Phase II.
- The areas possible for funding are defined per census block versus census track.
- Your proposed funding request has to be less than the FCC defined costs for the High-Cost Areas.
- Many homes will be ineligible for funding. If not already in place, would make sense to develop wireless or some form of lower cost Internet to serve the locations that can’t be funded with fiber.
- A case study is as follows:
Case Study #1 Service Territory Map – Mille Lacs, MN
Eligible Areas for Mille Lacs Electric Co-op

CB Funded
No
Yes
### Example - Identifying Cost Effective Census Blocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census Tract</th>
<th>Census Block</th>
<th>Customers</th>
<th>Line Miles</th>
<th>Ratio of PSE Determined Costs / FCC Modeled Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>770086</td>
<td>3097</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770086</td>
<td>3165</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770186</td>
<td>2104</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770186</td>
<td>2131</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770186</td>
<td>2154</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770186</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770286</td>
<td>2058</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770386</td>
<td>3044</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790487</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790488</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790488</td>
<td>2071</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950786</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>951586</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970086</td>
<td>2094</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970186</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970186</td>
<td>2075</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **Assumed costs lowest relative to FCC (lowest 10%)**
- **Assumed costs low relative to FCC (lowest 20%)**
- **Assumed costs similar to FCC (middle 60%)**
- **Assumed costs high relative to FCC (highest 20%)**
- **Assumed costs highest relative to FCC (highest 10%)**

*Data for Illustration Purposes Only*
Census Block Assumed Cost per Customer Relative to FCC Cost per Customer

Data for Illustration Purposes Only
A WI Co-op–Fiber Backbone and FTTP
Key Fiber to the Home Assumptions

- If you build a fiber backbone within your service territory to provide communications to your substations, tower sites, offices, and a few “anchor” customers:
  - How do you apply these common backbone costs as part of your internal cost evaluation?
  - What you decide to request as part of your Experiment funding request?

- Many utilities are faced with spending significant money to upgrade their aging substation and backbone communications:
  - Is it appropriate to allocate all of these costs to your fiber to the home cost/benefit analysis?
Possible Backbone Cost Allocation Approaches

• We are considering modeling the business case with a variety of scenarios, including:
  
  – Determine the costs for a 10 Mbps wireless link to each substation and a 50 Mbps or greater link to any tower, office, or backbone line.
  
  – Determine the costs to apply fiber to the same locations: substations, towers, offices, and any backbone links.
  
  – Subtract fiber costs from wireless costs, and place that into the FTTH cost allocation.

• Other allocation methods: 50% of the backbone fiber costs to the FTTH business case. 0% allocation of the backbone to the FTTH business case.
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Developing a Culture for Competition

• Even with a supporting board of directors and management team dealing with risk and uncertainty, it is not easy for an electric utility to enter a competitive market.

• Never underestimate the potential power of a competitor:
  – Expect some possible overbuilds by the CATV and telcos, increased advertising, pricing promotions.

• Complete a detailed competitive analysis of the Internet competition and/or video service capabilities of the providers in your service territory.
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Next Steps

1. Complete a detailed cost/benefit analysis for your entire customer base or areas not served presently by a broadband provider.

2. Complete a risk assessment of your cost/benefit analysis.

3. Closely uncover the funding opportunity by census block.

4. Consider how to offer VoIP telephony and consider how to provide TV programing similar to a CATV provider (Triple Play).

5. Create a fiber backbone design to serve substations, offices, and towers for the provision of AMI, SCADA, DA, DG, LMR backhaul and other internal applications. Also, consider how microwave could be used in the event you elect not to deploy FTTH.

6. Begin building the fiber backbone with or without the FCC fiber funding. However, consider what other robust communications media would cost to determine the amount of additional cost of fiber over robust microwave.
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